



In *ABNAMRO v. Investors Title Insurance Company*, No. 7:06-1162 (the “Title Insurance case”) the same plaintiff alleges that two title insurance companies have wrongfully denied coverage for losses stemming from acts attributable to attorneys named in the SAJJ case. The motion to consolidate in that case was referred to this court for disposition by the Honorable R. Bryan Harwell, United States District Judge.

The plaintiff has moved to consolidate the two cases in their entirety pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a). A couple of the defendants in the cases do not oppose full consolidation, while the remaining defendants do not oppose consolidation for purposes of discovery, but they do oppose consolidation for trial. Accordingly, the plaintiff’s motion to consolidate is granted in part and denied in part. The cases are to be consolidated for purposes of discovery and filing of dispositive motions, but the plaintiff’s request to consolidate for trial is denied at this time. The plaintiff may re-file its motion to consolidate for trial after discovery has ended.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/William M. Catoe  
United States Magistrate Judge

August 3, 2006

Greenville, South Carolina